UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/584,003	06/22/2006	IIya Nifant'ev	FE 6156 (US)	6835	
	34872 7590 03/17/2008 Basell USA Inc.			EXAMINER	
Delaware Corporate Center II			LEE, RIP A		
2 Righter Parkway, Suite #300 Wilmington, DE 19803			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1796		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/17/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Commons	10/584,003	NIFANT'EV ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	RIP A. LEE	1796			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the co	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
	-· action is non-final.				
,	, 				
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
ciocos in accordance man are praesios anacir Es	parte gaayre, 1000 0.2. 11, 10	0 0.0.210.			
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 17-42 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 17-23 and 28-42 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 17, 19, 20, 22, 24-28 and 33 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Application Papers					
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10-31-2006.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te			

Art Unit: 1796

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on an application filed with the European Patent Office on December 22, 2003. It is noted, however, that Applicant has not filed a certified copy of the foreign application as required by 35 U.S.C. 119(b). It appears that two copies of the same provisional document 60/532,331 have been filed on June 22, 2006. Please check the record.

Claim Objections

- 2. Claims 17, 20, 22, 24, and 28 are objected to because of the following informalities: The apostrophe in the terms "OR'O" and "R' is a divalent" is near illegible. For printing purposes, please replace the apostrophe with prime notation, *i.e.*, OR'O, or increase the font size of the existing apostrophe. Appropriate corrections are required.
- 3. Claims 17, 20, 22, 24, and 28 are objected to because of the following informalities: The definitions of divalent radical R' and divalent bridging group L are imprecise. The terms "alkylidene," "arylidene," "arylidene," "arylidene," "cycloalkylidene," and "silylidene," should be replaced with "alkylene," "arylene," "alkylarylene," "arylalkylene," "cycloalkylene," and "silyene," respectively. Appropriate corrections are required.
- 4. Claim 19 is objected to because of the following informalities: The term "saturated or unsaturated" (three occurrences) may be deleted since alkyl groups are necessarily saturated. Appropriate corrections are required.
- 5. Claim 28 is objected to because of the following informalities: Please replace "alkaline or alkali-earth" with "alkali or alkaline earth" (two occurrences at bottom of page 11). Appropriate corrections are required.

Art Unit: 1796

6. Claim 33 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. The limitations recited in claim 33 relate to metallocene complexes rather than free ligands.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

7. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

8. Claims 35-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Independent claims 35 and 36 are rendered vague and indefinite because formula (I), (IIa), (IIIa), and (IVa) do not appear in the claim. Dependent claims 37-42 are subsumed under the rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

9. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1796

11. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 12. Claims 17-19, 28-31, 35, 37, 39, and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Imuta *et al.* (U.S. 6,004,897).

Imuta *et al.* discloses the transition metal complex, Me₂Si(2,7-Me₂-4,5-(2-Me-benzo)-1-indenyl)(2,7-di-*t*-BuFlu)ZrCl₂ (claim 7). This compound contains the requisite substituents R¹ and R³ indicated in formula (I) of the instant claims. Complexes are prepared from deprotonation of free ligand with *n*-butyllithium (col. 20, line 21) and subsequent reaction of the resulting dianion with metal salt. Catalysts prepared from contact of compounds with a co-catalyst (col. 23-27) are used for polymerization of olefins such as ethylene, propylene, and butene (col. 28, line 37).

13. Claims 17-23 and 28-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rohrmann *et al.* (U.S. 5,830,821).

Rohrmann *et al.* discloses bridged, bis(benzo[e]indenyl) transition metal complexes having a structure represented by formula (I) wherein R^3 is a C_1 - C_4 alkyl group, and ring substituents R^5 - R^8 are hydrogen or a C_1 - C_4 alkyl group (col. 4, lines 6-57). Complexes are prepared from deprotonation of free ligand with n-butyllithium (reaction scheme, col. 7 and 8) and subsequent reaction of the resulting dianion with metal salt. Catalysts prepared from contact of compounds with a co-catalyst (col. 10-11) are used for polymerization of olefins such as ethylene, propylene, and butene (col. 12, line 18). While the working examples do not show metallocenes in which R^6 is a C_1 - C_4 alkyl group, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to make such a compound and use it in a catalyst for polymerization of olefins because this is an obvious, discrete species of the genus of compound disclosed in

Art Unit: 1796

Rohrmann *et al.*, and one having ordinary skill in the art would have expected all species within the genus to produce a useful catalyst.

14. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Upon revision of claim to overcome objections to matters of form (paragraphs 2 and 3, *supra*), the subject matter of claims 24-27 would be allowable over the closest reference, Nifant'ev *et al*. (WO 01/47939; equivalent U.S. 7,112,638), which discloses the compound Me₂Si(2-Mebenzo[*e*]indenyl)(2,5-Me2-cyclopentadithiophene)ZrCl₂. The reference does not teach or fairly disclose compounds of formula (IV) in which R³ is a C₁-C₄₀ hydrocarbon group, and, in absence of any suggestion or motivation to do so in the teaching of the prior art, one having ordinary skill in the art would not have found it obvious to modify structurally the compound Me₂Si(2-Mebenzo[*e*]indenyl)(2,5-Me2-cyclopentadithiophene)ZrCl₂ in order to make a compound of instant claim 24. For purposes of completing PTOL-326, the status of claims 25-27 is indicated as "objected to" since they depend from an objected claim.

Information Disclosure Statement

15. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on October 13, 2006 has been considered by the examiner. Correction to citation AB was made.

Art Unit: 1796

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rip A. Lee whose telephone number is (571)272-1104. The

examiner can be reached on Monday through Friday from 9:00 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu S. Jagannathan, can be reached at (571)272-1119. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571)273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on the access to the

Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll free).

/Rip A. Lee/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796

March 6, 2008